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Conditioned fear requires neural activity in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), structures that are densely interconnected at the synaptic level. Previous work has suggested that anatomical subdivisions of mPFC make distinct contributions to fear expression and inhibition, and that the functional output of this processing is relayed to the BLA complex. However, it remains unknown whether synaptic plasticity in mPFC-BLA networks contributes to fear memory encoding. Here we use optogenetics and ex vivo electrophysiology to reveal the impact of fear conditioning on BLA excitatory and feedforward inhibitory circuits formed by projections from infralimbic (IL) and prelimbic (PL) cortices. In naive mice, these pathways recruit equivalent excitation and feedforward inhibition in BLA principal neurons. However, fear learning leads to a selective decrease in inhibition:excitation balance in PL circuits that is attributable to a postsynaptic increase in AMPA receptor function. These data suggest a pathway-specific mechanism for fear memory encoding by adjustment of mPFC-BLA transmission. Upon reengagement of PL by conditioned cues, these modifications may serve to amplify emotional responses.

Key words: amygdala; channelrhodopsin; fear; memory; prefrontal; synaptic plasticity

Introduction

The basal and lateral nuclei of the amygdala (together the BLA) have been established as crucial loci in fear memory acquisition and retrieval, functions supported in part by synaptic input from thalamic and neocortical sensory regions (Pape and Pare, 2010). In addition to sensory relays, BLA is thought to rely on bidirectional synaptic connections with an emotional regulatory network comprised of the hippocampus and the mPFC. While fear acquisition can occur in the absence of mPFC function (Corcoran and Quirk, 2007), evidence suggests that fear expression is highly contingent on neural processing by mPFC areas including rostral anterior cingulate (Cg1), prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic (IL) cortices (or their human counterparts the dorsal anterior cingulate (Cg1), prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic (IL) cortices (or their human counterparts the dorsal anterior cingulate, dACC, and ventromedial PFC; Phelps et al., 2004; Milad et al., 2007). In particular, conditioned stimulus (CS) responses in PL correlate with the onset and offset of conditioned freezing in rodents (Burgos-Robles et al., 2009; Courtin et al., 2014), and inactivation of PL abolishes fear expression (Corcoran and Quirk, 2007; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011). In contrast, IL activity is associated with fear inhibition (Milad and Quirk, 2002). Importantly, CS-responsive neurons in PL project to the amygdala, but not the periaqueductal gray (Courtin et al., 2014), suggesting that synaptic integration of mPFC-BLA inputs is likely critical to the outcome of CS exposure. This possibility is supported by increased firing of BLA neurons during mPFC microstimulation (Likhitik et al., 2005; but see Rosenkranz and Grace, 2001). However, whether fear conditioning alters the efficacy of transmission at specific mPFC inputs remains to be examined.

Increasingly sophisticated approaches have recently culminated in fairly definitive support for the causal role of synaptic plasticity in associative fear (Nabavi et al., 2014). A next step in linking these insights to the function of fear regulatory pathways would be to localize synaptic correlates of fear conditioning to specific circuits. Despite being essential components of a dysregulated network in fear-related disorders (Maren et al., 2013), however, mPFC-BLA connections have eluded such analysis primarily due to the lack of appropriate methodology. Here we employ a precise optogenetic approach for selective interrogation of BLA circuits formed by projections from the IL and PL subdivisions of mPFC. We demonstrate that despite exhibiting similar basal excitatory and feedforward inhibitory transmission, these pathways are differentially affected by auditory fear conditioning. Our results suggest a novel role for strengthening of PL synapses in fear memory encoding through a postsynaptic mechanism involving AMPA-type glutamate receptors.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. All subjects were male C57BL/6J mice aged ~4 postnatal weeks at the time of surgical manipulations and ~10 weeks at the time of behavioral manipulations. Subjects were housed in groups of three to five on a 12 h light/dark cycle and given food and water ad libitum. All manipulations were approved in advance by the Institutional Animal...
Care and Use Committee of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

**Viral targeting.** Subjects were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and xylazine, and mounted in a stereotaxic frame (Stoelting). A small volume of AAV2-CaMKIIa-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP (0.5 µL; Molecular Valley, North Carolina Vector Core) was injected into each target hemisphere by way of a motorized microsyringe. After remaining in place for 10 additional minutes, the syringe was retracted and mice were recovered to their home cages for 6 weeks until further manipulation. To empirically establish stereotaxic coordinates for PL (AP ± 1.9, ML ± 0.3, DV −2.2) and IL (AP ± 1.7, ML ± 0.3, DV −2.7), and analyze their pattern of axonal targeting, animals were transcardially perfused with PBS (0.1 x) and 4% PFA. Coronal sections (50 µm) were obtained from fixed brains on a vibratome (VT1000S; Leica) and mounted using Prolong gold antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Invitrogen). EYFP was visualized using epifluorescent (Axiophot; Zeiss) or confocal (LSM 780; Zeiss) microscopes. For all mice included in this study, we retained the prefrontal brain region for post hoc confirmation of targeting. Following collection and analysis of electrophysiological data, this tissue was fixed overnight with 4% PFA and processed as previously described. Blind to experimental group, mice containing unintended viral spread into PL or IL were rejected based on confocal fluorescence microscopy. Of 101 total mice, this resulted in elimination of data from 14.

**Fear conditioning.** Training entailed six paired trains of tone (CS, 2 kHz, 80 dB, 20 s) with scrambled footshock [unconditioned stimulus (US), 0.7 mA, 2 s], in which CS and US were coterminating. A 200 s period of acclimation to the conditioning arena (Med Associates) preceded the onset of the first pairing. After training, mice were returned to their home cages for 24 h until preparation of brain slices. Several altered conditions were used to test the stimulus specificity of tone conditioning as well as associated synaptic changes. For the tone-only condition, mice experienced 6 CS, but no US, in the training arena. For the immediate shock condition (1MS), mice experienced a single US immediately after place- ment into the training arena and 60 s later were returned to their home cages (Frankland et al., 2004). In the unpaired condition, mice experienced the same number of CS and US as trained mice, but in an explicitly unpaired configuration entailing a group of 6 CS followed by a group of 6 US (Clem and Huganir, 2010). Tone-evoked retrieval was conducted 24 h after training by presentation of a single CS (60 s duration) after a 4 min baseline period in a modified context. Two hours after the tone test, contextual fear was assessed by exposure to the training context for 5 min. Freezing during fear conditioning and tone-evoked retrieval were quantified by automated motion-sensitive software (Video Freeze; Med Associates).

**Slice electrophysiology.** After anesthetization with isoflurane, subjects received transcardial perfusion with ice-cold (0−4°C) buffer composed of the following (in mM): 210.3 sucrose, 11 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH2PO4, 26.2 NaHCO3, 0.5 ascorbate, 0.5 CaCl2, and 4 MgCl2. Acute coronal slices of BLA were obtained from dissected brains at 350 µm from a VT1000S vibratome (Leica) and then incubated at 35°C for 40 min in the same solution, but with reduced sucrose (105.2 mM) and addition of NaCl (109.5 mM). Following recovery, slices were maintained at room temperature in standard ACSF composed of the following (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH2PO4, 26.2 NaHCO3, 11 glucose, 2 CaCl2, and 4 MgCl2. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were obtained from principal pyramidal neurons in the lateral and basal amygdala using borosilicate glass electrodes (3−5 MΩ). Electrode internal was composed of a 120 Cs-methanesulfonate, 100 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 8 NaCl, 4 Mg-ATP, 1 QX-314, 10 Na-phosphocreatine, and 0.4 Na-GTP. mPFC axon terminals were stimulated using TTL-pulsed microscope objective-coupled LEDs (460 nm, -1 mW/mm2, Prizmatix). In experiments requiring GABA, R blockade by picROTOXIN (100 µM; Abcam), external Ca2+ and Mg2+ were increased to 4 mM to prevent spontaneous bursting. For analysis of AMPA:NMDA ratio and EPSC rectification during LED stimulation, we applied 1 µM TTX (Abcam) and 100 µM 4-AP (Abcam) for more stringent isolation of monosynaptic transmission as previously described (Cruikshank et al., 2010). Paired-pulse-evoked EPSC and spontaneous EPSC/IPSC analyses were conducted in normal ACSF. For use-dependent blockade of NMDA receptors, a baseline NMDAR-EPSC was established at +40 mV by stimulation in normal ACSF supplemented with AMPA (DNQX, 20 µM) and GABA receptor antagonists (picrotxin, 100 µM; MK-801 (20 µM)) was then applied and 0.1 Hz stimulation was resumed to trigger progressive and release probability-dependent blockade of NMDAR-EPSCs (Rosenmund et al., 1993). Data were low-pass filtered at 3 kHz (evoked) and 10 kHz (spontaneous) and acquired at 10 kHz using Multiclamp 700B and pClamp 10 (Molecular Devices). All stimulation was conducted at 0.1 Hz to avoid inducing synaptic plasticity. Series and membrane resistance were continuously monitored, and recordings were discarded when these measurements changed by >20%. Detection and analysis of sEPSCs and sIPSCs were performed blind to experimental group using Mini Analysis (Synaptosoft).

**Statistics.** All data are presented as means ± SE, with n given as number of cells followed by number of animals in parentheses, where applicable. Two-tailed t tests were used for comparisons across two groups, while >2 groups were analyzed by one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by pairwise comparisons using Bonferroni-corrected two-tailed t tests.

**Results.** To investigate BLA synapses formed by projections from the mPFC, we selectively targeted IL (Fig. 1B) or PL cortices (Fig. 1C) through precise stereotaxic infusion of recombinant adeno-associated viral vectors expressing a channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-EYFP fusion protein under the control of a CaMKIIa promoter (rAAV2- CaMKIIa-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP; Fig. 1A). Six weeks after virus infusion, whole-cell recordings were obtained from amygdala neurons during optic excitation of mPFC terminals by microscope objective-coupled light-emitting diodes (Fig. 2A; LEDs, 460 nm, −1 mW/mm²). ChR2-EYFP fluorescence was mostly restricted to mPFC target structures (Fig. 1B, C). Generally consistent with previous tracing studies (Sesack et al., 1989; Mcdonald et al., 1996), axon terminal fluorescence was most prominent in the anterior basal nucleus, and displayed a similar pattern following IL and PL infusions (Fig. 1D−G). In accordance with more prominent terminal labeling by ChR2-EYFP in the basal nucleus, LED stimulation evoked larger biphasic EPSC-IPSCs in neurons from basal compared with those in the lateral nucleus (Fig. 1H), which were exceedingly small even under maximal stimulation (EPSC component = −20.7 ± 4.8 pA). We therefore restricted the remainder of our analysis to the basal amygdala subregion.

To investigate basal nucleus plasticity after fear encoding, we first compared global synaptic properties of principal glutamatergic neurons between slices from naive mice and those subjected to auditory fear conditioning (Fig. 2A). Examination of a subset of trained mice (n = 10 of 38) revealed robust tone-evoked freezing at the time point when acute brain slices were prepared (24 h post training, t(90) = 8.72, p < 0.0001; Fig. 2C). Compared with naive controls, neurons from trained mice exhibited increased frequency of sEPSCs (t(24) = 2.27, p = 0.032; Fig. 2E), but no change in sEPSC amplitude (t(24) = 1.32, p = 0.20; Fig. 2F) or kinetics (rise t(24) = 1.10, p = 0.28; tau decay t(24) = 0.21, p = 0.84). In contrast, no differences in the frequency (t(18) = 0.40, p = 0.70), amplitude (t(18) = 0.84, p = 0.41), or kinetics (rise t(18) = 0.22, p = 0.82; tau decay t(18) = 0.88, p = 0.39) of sIPSCs were detected in trained mice (Fig. 2G−I).

Given a potential shift toward greater excitation in spontaneous transmission after fear conditioning (Fig. 2), we next assessed changes in mPFC pathways that might affect inhibition:excitation balance of a hypothetical microcircuit (Fig. 3A). A brief light pulse (460 nm, 5 ms) evoked an EPSC followed by an IPSC in principal excitatory neurons. After fear conditioning, the amplitude ratio of component IPSCs and EPSCs (1:E ratio) was decreased during PL (t(21) = 3.46, p = 0.0024) but not IL terminal
the sensitivity of IPSCs to the GABAA antagonist picrotoxin. This indicated a shift at the PL pathway was evident over the entire range of maximal response amplitudes for individual neurons (Fig. 3D), suggesting that changes in I:E balance could not be attributed to a bias in response strength.

To more closely examine EPSCs and IPSCs, we relied on the following properties to sequentially isolate these components in individual neurons: the reversal potential of EPSCs (0 mV) and the sensitivity of IPSCs to the GABA<sub>α</sub> antagonist picrotoxin. This technique allows for the dissociation of currents with onset latencies consistent with monosynaptic excitation and disynaptic inhibition (Fig. 4A, D). Importantly, we confirmed that IPSCs are generated through a multisynaptic mechanism by application of an AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist (Fig. 4B, C). This implies that IPSCs exhibit no change in amplitude in either pathway (one-way ANOVA, F<sub>(1,28)</sub> = 0.66, p = 0.58; Fig. 4F). In contrast, EPSCs in trained mice were increased in amplitude selectively at PL synapses (one-way ANOVA, F<sub>(3,28)</sub> = 4.12, p = 0.015, p < 0.05 Bonferroni post hoc comparison of PL trained vs PL naive or IL trained; Fig. 4F).

The presence of excitatory synaptic strengthening suggests that pathway-selective plasticity of mPFC-BLA transmission contributes to fear memory encoding. However, it remains unclear whether synaptic changes were driven by associative aspects of training, rather than mere exposure to a novel context and/or stimuli. Therefore, we collected additional synaptic physiology from a new group of PL-targeted mice after cage experience (naive), auditory training, or one of three additional control conditions: tone only, immediate shock (IMS), or unpaired conditioning, as well as performance in a two-way statistical test (Fig. 5A). Probe tests indicated that only trained mice displayed increased freezing in the presence of the CS, relative to baseline (Fig. 5B; two-way repeated-measures ANOVA, group × test interaction, F<sub>(3,28)</sub> = 16.68, p < 0.0001; p < 0.01 paired t test for simple effect of test), indicating that tone-associative learning was specific to this condition. In contrast, both unpaired and trained mice, but not IMS mice, exhibited context-evoked freezing during re-exposure to the training arena (Fig. 5C; one-way ANOVA, F<sub>(3,28)</sub> = 15.69, p < 0.0001; p < 0.05 Bonferroni post hoc comparison of trained or unpaired vs remaining conditions). Optic stimulation of PL terminals revealed that while feedforward IPSC amplitude was not affected by any behavioral condition (Fig. 5D, E; F<sub>(4,46)</sub> = 0.26, p = 0.90), monosynaptic EPSCs were strengthened in trained mice relative to all other control conditions (Fig. 5F, G; F<sub>(4,45)</sub> = 7.31, p = 0.0001, p < 0.05 Bonferroni post hoc comparison of trained vs all other controls). Further indicating the requirement for stimulus associativity in PL-BLA plasticity, within-cell IPSC:EPSC ratios were decreased in trained mice relative to unpaired controls [IPSC:EPSC ratio:...
unpaired = 1.94 ± 0.24; trained = 1.08 ± 0.22; t(11) = 2.55, p = 0.027; n = 5 (5) unpaired, 8 (4) trained.

Due to enhancement of sEPSC frequency in basal amygdala neurons after fear conditioning (Fig. 2), we hypothesized that potentiation of PL excitatory responses might have a presynaptic locus of expression. Indeed, fear conditioning has been previously associated with increased miniature EPSC frequency in lateral amygdala neurons (Clem and Huganir, 2010), as well as increased glutamate release at cortical synapses formed by external capsule inputs (Tsvetkov et al., 2002). Importantly, a lack of ChR2-EYFP fluorescence in the external capsule indicates that although they originate from neocortex, PL fibers likely enter the basal amygdala through an alternate pathway (Fig. 1), similar to anterior cingulate fibers targeting the lateral amygdala (Morozov et al., 2011). To assess glutamate release probability at PL synapses, we delivered paired-pulse LED stimulation over a range of interstimulus intervals (Fig. 6A, B). This revealed that fear conditioning does not alter paired-pulse EPSC ratios under our recording conditions (100 ms interstimulus interval; t(18) = 0.10; p = 0.92; Fig. 6B). To provide an independent test of presynaptic function, we took advantage of the use dependence of the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 (Rosenmund et al., 1993), which results in an increased rate of EPSC blockade with higher glutamate release probability. During stimulation in MK-801 (20 μM), the time constant of NMDAR-EPSC blockade did not differ between naive and trained mice (Fig. 6C–E; t(13) = 0.097, p = 0.92), and thus increased strength of PL excitatory synapses cannot be attributed to presynaptic plasticity.

To examine whether PL response potentiation might arise from postsynaptic modifications, we compared the relative contribution of AMPA and NMDA receptor currents to excitatory

Figure 2. Limited impact of fear conditioning on spontaneous neurotransmission in the basal nucleus. A, Schedule for obtaining electrophysiological or behavioral measurements for all trained mice. Training entailed six pairings of pure-tone CS (2 kHz, 20 s, 80 dB) with scrambled footshock US (0.7 mA, 2 s), while naive mice were selected from cage-experienced littermates. B, C, Freezing during fear conditioning (B) and retrieval test (C). *p < 0.0001, paired t test versus baseline (n = 10). D, Representative sEPSC traces at −60 mV. Calibration: 10 pA × 400 ms. E, F, Cumulative distribution and group means for frequency (E) and amplitude (F) of sEPSCs, *p < 0.05, two-tailed t test [n = 12 (5) naive, 14 (4) trained]. G, Representative sIPSC traces at 0 mV. Calibration: 30 pA × 300 ms. H, I, Cumulative distribution and group means for frequency (H) and amplitude (I) of sIPSCs [n = 9 (3) naive, 11 (3) trained].

Delivered paired-pulse LED stimulation over a range of interstimulus intervals (Fig. 6A, B). This revealed that fear conditioning does not alter paired-pulse EPSC ratios under our recording conditions (100 ms interstimulus interval; t(18) = 0.10; p = 0.92; Fig. 6B). To provide an independent test of presynaptic function, we took advantage of the use dependence of the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 (Rosenmund et al., 1993), which results in an increased rate of EPSC blockade with higher glutamate release probability. During stimulation in MK-801 (20 μM), the time constant of NMDAR-EPSC blockade did not differ between naive and trained mice (Fig. 6C–E; t(13) = 0.097, p = 0.92), and thus increased strength of PL excitatory synapses cannot be attributed to presynaptic plasticity.

To examine whether PL response potentiation might arise from postsynaptic modifications, we compared the relative contribution of AMPA and NMDA receptor currents to excitatory
transmission during optic stimulation. Since LTP of postsynaptic origin is typically attributable to increased AMPA receptor conductance (Kauer et al., 1988; Muller et al., 1988), previous studies have relied on this metric as an indicator of postsynaptic strengthening (Clem and Barth, 2006; Tye et al., 2008; Clem and Huganir, 2010; Lammel et al., 2011; Britt et al., 2012). Similar to artificial LTP, fear conditioning increased AMPA:NMDA ratios at PL synapses (t(20) = 2.48, p = 0.022; Fig. 7A, B). In previous work, we found that fear conditioning also leads to strengthening of AMPA receptor transmission at thalamic synapses in the lateral nucleus, and that this strengthening is associated with synaptic incorporation of inwardly rectifying calcium-permeable AMPARs (CP-AMPARs; Clem and Huganir, 2010, 2013). Similar to thalamic synapses, AMPAR-EPSCs at PL synapses displayed greater inward rectification in trained animals (t(24) = 2.30, p = 0.030; Fig. 7C, D), indicating that fear memory formation leads to a change in AMPAR subunit composition in the PL pathway. Together, these data support a postsynaptic locus for plasticity underlying fear encoding within the mPFC-BLA network.

Discussion

In these experiments we used optogenetics and ex vivo electrophysiology to reveal plasticity of mPFC-BLA circuits after fear learning. Through precise stereotaxic infusion of ChR2 vectors, we were able to isolate for optic stimulation BLA inputs from either PL or IL cortices and examine the impact of fear conditioning on pathway-specific excitation and inhibition. We found that fear learning leads to strengthening of PL but not IL excitatory synapses in BLA principal neurons via changes in AMPAR conductance, without corresponding changes in feedforward inhibition in either pathway. These effects emphasize the power of optogenetics when applied to the precise functional dissection of brain circuits, and reveal a synaptic mechanism mediating mPFC-BLA cooperation in fear memory encoding.

Previous studies of auditory fear conditioning have focused predominantly on the role of synaptic plasticity in the lateral nucleus. For example, strengthening of thalamic synapses formed by internal capsule inputs can be readily detected in acute brain slices from trained animals (McKernan and Shinnick-Gallagher, 1997; Zhou et al., 2009; Clem and Huganir, 2010; Lin et al., 2011; Hong et al., 2013), and these ex vivo effects mirror changes in short-latency auditory field potentials in the lateral nucleus in vivo (Rogan et al., 1997). Interestingly, similar effects have been reported following auditory-cued reward learning and are predictive of behavioral performance (Tye et al., 2008). While these data suggest a causal relationship between thalamic synaptic efficacy and emotional learning, a recent study that used optogenetic manipulation of thalamic synapses suggested this model is inadequate (Nabavi et al., 2014). According to this report, once fear memory has been established, low-frequency optogenetic stimulation both weakens thalamic synapses and reduces fear, while high-frequency stimulation can reverse this weakening and restore CS responses. Nevertheless, LTP-like strengthening of thalamic synapses is not sufficient to generate fear in naive animals. Thus, while plasticity in the thalamic pathway is critical for fear conditioning, other synapses recruited by CS–US pairing are likely necessary for fear memory encoding. These additional sites

Figure 3. Fear conditioning reduces the balance of inhibition to excitation in the PL-amygdala pathway. A, Hypothetical model of glutamatergic excitation and local feedforward inhibition in principal neurons resulting from optic stimulation of IL or PL BLA terminals onto interneurons (IN) or principal neurons (PN). B, Representative biphasic EPSC-IPSCs evoked by optic stimulation (5 ms, 460 nm) of IL or PL terminals with postsynaptic neuron clamped at −50 mV. Calibration: 300 pA × 40 ms. C, Mean amplitude ratio of I:E ratio as a function of training. D, Distribution of component amplitudes for individual neurons during PL stimulation. *p < 0.05, two-tailed t test [IL n = 10 (5) naive, 7 (6) trained; PL n = 8 (7) naive, 15 (9) trained].
need to be identified to enable systems-level dissection and reconstruction of emotional memory processes.

An appropriate focus for these experiments is the mPFC-BLA network, given its complex roles in both fear expression and extinction. This network is characterized by extensive and reciprocal axon projections from principal glutamatergic neurons (Marek et al., 2013). Ambiguity exists regarding the functional impact of these projections, particularly with respect to their relative engagement of downstream excitatory and inhibitory neurons. For example, it has been presumed that IL neurons predominantly recruit inhibitory microcircuits within the BLA (Marek et al., 2013), thereby explaining correlation of IL activity with extinction. As with other recent reports (Cho et al., 2013; Hu¨bner et al., 2014), the application of advanced electrophysiological techniques in our study enabled a direct comparison of the nature and relative strength of transmission between PL and IL pathways. The results of these studies are in complete agreement that PL and IL provide largely overlapping axon projections that recruit similar levels of functional excitation and inhibition. Nevertheless, CS-evoked activity in mPFC and BLA, as well as rhythmic coupling between these structures, suggests their close cooperation in fear encoding. Realistic computational models suggest that processing by the mPFC-BLA network may transform transient CS-evoked activity in the lateral amygdala into a sustained output via PL projections to the basal nucleus (Pendyam et al., 2013), while in vivo recordings indicate that such projections do indeed originate from CS-responsive neurons (Courtin et al., 2014). Our results indicate that fear responses may be additionally modulated by plasticity of these mPFC-BLA connections.

We demonstrate for the first time that fear encoding involves strengthening of BLA synapses from a specific group of neurons in the mPFC. However, ours is not the first application of optogenetic techniques to experience-dependent plasticity in these pathways. In an initial report that focused on extinction, ChR2 vectors were targeted to IL, but this resulted in considerable spread into PL (Cho et al., 2013). The authors reported no differences in transmission between CS-only and fear-conditioned controls at synapses formed by these mixed projections. However, in fear-extinguished mice, excitatory transmission was weakened through reduction of glutamate release probability. These results essentially agree with those of our study in that the IL-BLA pathway is unaffected by fear conditioning, and indicate that mPFC-BLA plasticity may be important for multiple stages of bidirectional fear regulation. However, although PL and IL have largely overlapping BLA terminal fields, our data indicate

Figure 4. Potentiation of monosynaptic excitation underlies plasticity of PL circuits. A, Pharmacological isolation of excitatory response component by GABA 4 receptor blockade (100 µM picrotoxin). Calibration: 300 pA × 50 ms. B, Confirmation of polysynaptic IPSC mechanism by application of the AMPA receptor antagonist CNQX (100 µM) during PL optic stimulation. Calibration: 400 pA × 70 ms. C, Representative IPSCs and pharmacologically isolated EPSCs for single neurons during IL and PL stimulation. Calibration: 200 pA × 50 ms. D, Onset latency of EPSCs and putative disynaptic IPSCs for all groups. E, Reduction of IPSC:EPSC ratio in the PL pathway. *p < 0.05; two-tailed t test. (For IL n = 8 (6) naive, 8 (6) trained; PL n = 8 (7) naive, 7 (7) trained.) F, Selective potentiation of EPSC amplitude (IL n = 8 (6) naive, 7 (6) trained; PL n = 8 (7) naive, 9 (8) trained) in the PL pathway after fear conditioning, but no effect of training on IPSC amplitudes (IL n = 9 (6) naive, 8 (6) trained; PL n = 8 (7) naive, 8 (7) trained). *p < 0.05, Bonferroni post hoc comparison.
Figure 5. PL excitatory synaptic strengthening requires tone-associative fear learning. A, Schedule for obtaining electrophysiological or behavioral measurements after auditory training or control experience. Training entailed six pairings of pure-tone CS (2 kHz, 20 s, 80 dB) with scrambled footshock US (0.7 mA, 2 s). Tone-only mice experienced 6 CS, but no shocks. Immediate shock (IMS) mice experienced a single shock (0.7 mA, 2 s) immediately after placement into the training arena. Unpaired mice experienced the same number of CS and US as trained mice, but in an explicitly unpaired configuration. B, C, Comparison of baseline and tone-evoked freezing (B; *p < 0.01) and freezing to the training context (average of 5 min; *p < 0.05, Bonferroni post hoc comparison; C) at 24 h after training. n = 9 tone only, n = 8 IMS, n = 7 unpaired, n = 8 trained. D, E, No effect of training on feedforward IPSC amplitude during PL terminal stimulation [n = 11 (4) naive, 8 (3) tone only, 9 (5) IMS, 10 (5) unpaired, 13 (5) trained]. Calibration: 400 pA × 80 ms. F, Representative EPSCs for PL stimulation. Calibration: 400 pA × 80 ms. G, Associative training increases EPSC amplitude relative to all control groups. *p < 0.05, Bonferroni post hoc comparison [n = 11 (4) naive, 7 (3) tone only, 10 (5) IMS, 12 (5) unpaired, 10 (5) trained].

Figure 6. Glutamate release probability of PL synapses is unaltered after fear conditioning. A, Representative traces of EPSCs evoked by paired optic stimulation at 100 ms interpulse interval. Calibration: 100 (left) and 200 × 40 ms (right). B, Mean amplitude ratios for paired-pulse stimulation [second/first pulse; (n = 10 (2) naive, 10 (3) trained). C–E, Use-dependent blockade of NMDA receptors by MK-801 (40 μM) during 0.1 Hz optic stimulation. Response amplitudes (D) were normalized to the first optic stimulus (C) and averaged across bins of five stimuli. Calibration: 100 pA × 80 ms. E, Comparison of mean time constant for NMDA receptor blockade, derived from a single-exponential fit of [amplitude × pulse number] for individual cells [n = 8 (4) naive, 7 (3) trained].
that these pathways play divergent roles in fear memory pro-
cesses, which may be obscured by their nonselective targeting.
Future studies should attempt to disambiguate these pathways by
the use of appropriate AAV serotypes, injection volumes, and
target angles. In addition, due to reciprocity of mPFC-BLA con-
nectivity (Little and Carter, 2013; Senn et al., 2014), experiments
should also examine whether BLA synapses onto mPFC neurons
exhibit fear-related plasticity.

Similar to thalamic plasticity (Clem and Huganir, 2010),
strengthening of PL-BLA synapses depended on stimulus asso-
ciativity and was correlated with the presence of tone-evoked
freezing (Fig. 5). Thus, while PL and BLA contribute to context
fear that exists in both trained mice as well as unpaired controls
(Goosens and Maren, 2001; Corcoran and Quirk, 2007), our re-
sults suggest that PL-BLA transmission plays a specific role in

Overall, our data point to a postsynaptic locus for expression
of PL excitatory synaptic strengthening and a corresponding in-
crease in excitation/inhibition balance in the basal amygdala.
Specifically, fear conditioning increases the postsynaptic efficacy
of glutamatergic transmission through changes in AMPAR conduc-
tance. We speculate that the small proportion of synapses affected
by this enhancement might have precluded a shift in the distribu-
tion of sEPSC amplitudes in BLA neurons (Fig. 2). On the
other hand, we failed to identify a mechanism for increased
sEPSC frequency in trained animals. This effect may be attribut-
able to synapse formation or increased glutamate release at other
afferent pathways, such as those traversing the external capsule
(Tsvetkov et al., 2002), or within recurrent connections between
BLA neurons.

Coincident with postsynaptic enhancement, we observed an in-
crease in the contribution of CP-AMPARs at PL synapses.
Previously, we found that CP-AMPARs undergo a GluA1
phosphorylation-dependent accumulation at thalamic synapses
in the lateral amygdala after fear conditioning (Clem and Huganir,
2010), and are removed during retrieval-extinction, a protocol
designed to exploit the adaptive function of reconsolidation.
Compared with conventional extinction, retrieval-extinction is
equally effective at reducing CS responses, but generates an au-
gmented form of fear attenuation that is not subject to spontane-
ous recovery, renewal, or reinstatement (Monfils et al., 2009;
Schiller et al., 2013). Because synaptic correlates of fear expres-
sion (present study) and extinction (Cho et al., 2013) may coexist
at mPFC-BLA pathways, it would be valuable to determine
whether persistent fear attenuation is associated with distinct
changes in PL or IL transmission, and whether CP-AMPAR dy-
namics contribute to these effects.

In conclusion, our results indicate that synaptic correlates of
auditory fear conditioning extend beyond sensory inputs to the
BLA. In particular, the acquisition of CS responses correlates with
selective strengthening of PL excitatory synapses in the basal nu-
cleus. Given increased activation of dACC (Milad et al., 2009)
and BLA in PTSD (Liberzon and Sripada, 2008), these data sug-
gest a mechanism by which fear-related activity might be ampli-
fied within mPFC-BLA networks, contributing to persistent and
uncontrollable emotional reactions.
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